FILA: Fine-grained Indoor Localization

Kaishun Wu*†, Jiang Xiao†, Youwen Yi†, Min Gao†, and Lionel M. Ni†

*School of Physics and Engineering, National Engineering Research Center of Digital Life, Sun Yat-sen University
†Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

***Abstract*—Indoor positioning systems have received increasing attention for supporting location-based services in indoor environments. WiFi-based indoor localization has been attractive due to its open access and low cost properties. However, the distance estimation based on received signal strength indicator (RSSI) is easily affected by the temporal and spatial variance due to the multipath effect, which contributes to most of the estimation errors in current systems. How to eliminate such effect so as to enhance the indoor localization performance is a big challenge. In this work, we analyze this effect across the physical layer and account for the undesirable RSSI readings being reported. We explore the frequency diversity of the subcarriers in OFDM systems and propose a novel approach called FILA, which leverages the channel state information (CSI) to alleviate multipath effect at the receiver. We implement the FILA system on commercial 802.11 NICs, and then evaluate its performance in different typical indoor scenarios. The experimental results show that the accuracy and latency of distance calculation can be significantly enhanced by using CSI. Moreover, FILA can significantly improve the localization accuracy compared with the corresponding RSSI approach.**

I. Introduction

Localization is one of the essential modules of many mobile wireless applications. Although Global Positioning System (GPS) works extremely well for an open-air localization, it does not perform effectively in indoor environments due to the disability of GPS signals to penetrate in-building materials. Therefore, precise indoor localization is still a critical missing component and has been gaining growing interest from a wide range of applications, e.g., location detection of assets in a warehouse, patient tracking inside the building of the hospital, and emergency personnel positioning in a disaster area.

A great number of researches have been done to address the indoor localization problem. Many range-based localization protocols compute positions based on received signal strength indicator (RSSI), which represents the received power level at the receiver. According to propagation loss model [1], received signal power monotonically decreases with increasing distance from the source, which is the foundation of the model-based localization. Most of the existing radio frequency (RF)-based indoor localization are based on the RSSI values [1]–[5]. However, we claim that the fundamental reasons why RSSI is not suitable for indoor localization are from two aspects: First, RSSI is measured from the RF signal at a per packet level, which is difficult to obtain an accurate value [6]. According to our filed measurement in a typical indoor environment as shown in Fig. 1, the variance of RSSIs collected from an immobile receiver in one minute is up to 5dB. Second, RSSI is easily varied by the multipath effect. In theory, it is possible to establish a model to estimate the separation distance using the received power. In reality, however, the propagation of a RF wave is attenuated by reflection when it hits the surface of an obstacle. In addition to the line-of-sight (LOS) signal, there are possibly multiple signals arriving at the receiver through different paths. This multipath effect is even more severe in indoor environments where a ceiling, floor and walls are present. As a result, it is possible for a closer receiver to have a lower RSSI than a more distant one. Consequently, a simple relationship between received power and separating distance cannot be established. Therefore, this time-varying and vulnerable RSSI value creates undesirable localization errors.

We argue that a better way to improve the accuracy of indoor localization is to find a new metric which is more stable and provides the capability to eliminate the multipath effect. In current widely used Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) systems, where data are modulated on multiple subcarriers in different frequencies and transmitted simultaneously, we have a value that estimates the channel in each subcarrier called Channel State Information (CSI). Different from RSSI, CSI is a fine-grained value from the PHY layer which describes the amplitude and phase on each subcarrier in the frequency domain. In contrast to having only one RSSI per packet, we can obtain multiple CSIs at one time. According to this characteristic, designing a fast and precise tracking/localization system becomes possible.

Based on CSI, in this paper, we present the design and implementation of FILA, a novel cross-layer approach based on OFDM for indoor localization using WLANs. To achieve the target of fast and accurate indoor localization, signal processing techniques are leveraged in both time and frequency domains to mitigate the multipath effect, and fast training algorithm is also proposed for AP calibration.

In summary, the main contributions of this paper are as follows.

1) We design FILA, a cross layer approach that enables fine-grained indoor localization in WLANs. To the best of our knowledge, FILA is the first to use fine-gained PHY layer information (CSI) in OFDM to improve indoor localization performance.
2) We implement FILA in commercial 802.11 NICs and conduct extensive experiments in several typical indoor



Fig. 1: Temporal variance of RSSI.



Fig. 2: OFDM Framework.

environments to show the feasibility of our design.

3) Experimental results demonstrate that FILA significantly improves the localization accuracy and reduces the latency, as compared to the corresponding traditional RSSI-based approach.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section. II, we introduce some preliminaries. This is followed by the system architecture design in Section III. In Section IV, we demonstrate the methodology. The implementation of FILA and experimental evaluations are presented in Section V. In Section VI, the related works are reviewed. Finally, conclusions are presented and suggestions are made for future research in Section VII.

II. Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce the background information of the OFDM system and then the CSI value from it which is the foundation of FILA design.

A. *Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing*

Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) is a bandwidth-efficient digital multicarrier modulation scheme for wideband wireless communications. It is widely used in IEEE 802.11a/g/n [7] and WiMAX, and is the core technique for future standards such as 3GPP LTE [8]. In OFDM, the overall spectrum band is divided into many small and partially overlapped signal-carrying frequency bands named subcarriers, as illustrated in Fig. 2. On the transmitter side, each carrier takes part of the data to be transmitted on an OFDM carrier signal. The data is first performed via an inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT) on the sender side as to transmit into the air. More specifically, IFFT is computed on data giving a set of complex time-domain samples that will be further quadrature-mixed to passband. The real and imaginary components are first converted to analogue domain using digital-to-analogue converters (DACs); the analogue signals are then be summed to give the transmission signal. Upon receiving the signals, the receivers sample them and pass them on to a demodulation process chain as well as digitize them using analogue-to-digital converters (ADCs). A forward FFT procedure processes the data sample blocks to convert back into the frequency domain.

B. *Channel State Information*

Based on OFDM, channel measurement at the subcarrier level becomes available. Nowadays, adaptive transmission systems in wireless communication always improve the throughput by utilizing some knowledge of the channel state to adapt or allocate transmitter resources [9].

Channel state information or channel status information (CSI) is information that estimates the channel by representing the channel properties of a communication link. To be more specifically, CSI describes how a signal propagates from the transmitter(s) to the receiver(s) and reveals the combined effect of, for instance, scattering, fading, and power decay with distance. In summary, the accuracy of CSI greatly influences the overall OFDM system performance.

In a narrowband flat-fading channel, the OFDM system in the frequency domain is modeled as

$$y = Hx + n, \tag{1}$$

where y and x are the received and transmitted vectors, respectively, and H and n are the channel matrix and the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) vector, respectively.

Thus, CSI of all subcarriers can be estimated according to (1) as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{y}{x}. \tag{2}$$

which is a fine-grained value from the PHY layer that describes the channel gain from TX baseband to RX baseband.

CSI of a single subcarrier is mathematically represented as

$$h = |h|e^{j\sin\{\angle h\}}, \tag{3}$$

where $|h|$ is the amplitude and $\angle h$ is the phrase of each subcarrier.

III. System Design

In this section, we first give an overview of the system architecture. Challenges in the system design are also presented.



Fig. 3: System Architecture.

A. System Architecture

FILA system is built based on the current communication system and thus compatible to the under layer design. More precisely, no modification is required at the transmitter end (TX–the AP), while only one new component for CSI processing is introduced for localization purposes at the receiver end (RX–the target mobile device). Fig. 3 demonstrates the detailed design of the system architecture. For traditional packet transmission in wireless communication, only the demodulated signal is exported to the decoder for message content retrieval. However, a prerequisite in FILA localization system is that it should be able to export the CSI value after the normal demodulation process. Such that we devise a localization block to exploit the CSI information.

In our designated localization block, the CSI collected from 30 groups different subcarriers will firstly be processed. After running the proposed algorithm, we can obtain the effective CSI in an efficient time constraint. Then the effective CSI will be used to estimate the location of the target object. As mentioned in the previous section, CSI value is the channel matrix from RX baseband to TX baseband which is needed for channel equalization. Therefore, there is no extra processing overhead when obtaining the CSI information. Nevertheless, RSSI is obtained at the receiver antenna in the 2.4 GHz radio frequency before down convert to the IF and baseband. Therefore, the free space model that built for RSSI-based localization approaches can't be directly applied to process the CSI value. We need to refine such radio propagation model according to the CSI information and compute the distance based on the proposed one. Finally, as the AP location information is obtained from the network layer while CSI is collected from the physical layer, we then use the simplest trilateration method to obtain the location.

In our FILA system architecture design, CSI is only processed by a newly designed localization block if needed. Owing to the fact, FILA can be applied concurrently with the original packet transmission. In other words, it will not introduce additional overhead during the data transmission.

B. Design Challenges

In order to design a precise indoor localization system, the implementation faces many challenges.

First, in the real indoor environments, multipath effect is unavoidable, and the superposed non-line-of-sight (NLOS) signals will cause variation of the measurement of channel gain. Consequently, the validity of radio propagation model based on the LOS signal will be greatly attenuated in indoor environment. Although some methods have been proposed to mitigated the multipath effect in the indoor localization based on RSSI, novel method is missing for that based on CSI.

Second, due to frequency-selective fading and frequency dependent attenuation, different subcarriers have different signal strengthes. Although such frequency diversity can be leveraged to enhance the data transmission performance with channel coding and interleaving techniques, it is a challenge to construct the relationship between multiple diverse CSI values and the distance in our localization system design.

Third, for most application scenarios, the location estimation should be fast especially for mobile clients, not only to save energy but more importantly be adaptable to the dynamic of the environment. However, most existing WLAN-based localization approaches either requires long time in the offline training phase (fingerprint-based) [10], or time-consuming in the online phase to obtain an stable metric: RSSI (model-based).

In the next section, we give details on how we address these challenges in FILA design.

IV. Methodology

FILA system employs the fine grained CSI value instead of RSSI to address the indoor localization issue. The methodology of FILA system can be broken down into three following steps.

1) CSI Processing: First, we need to mitigate estimation error by effectively processing the CSI value denoted as CSI_{eff}. This is known as the prerequisite of the ongoing two steps.
2) Calibration: Afterwards, we develop a refined indoor propagation model and a fast training algorithm to derive the relationship between CSI_{eff} and distance.
3) Location Determination: By receiving the APs coordinates in network layer and CSI_{eff} values from physical layer, we apply the revised propagation model and trilateration method to accomplish the localization.

A. CSI Processing

For wireless communication, attenuation of signal signal strength through a mobile radio channel is caused by three nearly independent factors: path loss, multipath fading, and shadowing. The path loss characterizes the property that the signal strength decays as the distance between the transmitter and receiver increases, which is the foundation of our CSI-based localization. Multipath fading is a rapid fluctuation of the complex envelope of received signal caused by reception of multiple copies of a transmitted signal through multipath propagation. Shadowing represents a slow variation in a received signal strength due to the obstacles in propagation path. Therefore, before establishing the relationship between CSI and distance, we need to mitigate the estimation error introduced by multipath fading and shadowing.

1) Time-domain Multipath Mitigation: The first concentration of our design is that the system must be capable of dealing with the challenge of operating over a multipath propagation channel. Since multipath effect will introduce Inter-Symbol-Interference (ISI), cyclic prefix (CP) is added to each symbol to combat the time delay in OFDM systems. However, the CP technique is helpless for the multiple reflections within a symbol time.

For narrow-band systems, these reflections will not be resolvable by the receiver when the bandwidth is less than the coherence bandwidth of the channel. Fortunately, the bandwidth of 802.11n waveforms is 20MHz (with channel bonding, the bandwidth could be 40MHz), which provides the capability of the receiver to resolve the different reflections in the channel. We propose a multipath mitigation mechanism that can distinguish the LOS signal or the most closed NLOS from other reflections in the expectation of reducing the distance estimation error.

The commonly used profile of multipath channel in the time domain is described as follow,

$$\mathrm{h}(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\mathrm{L}_p-1} \alpha_k \delta(\tau - \tau_k), \quad (4)$$

Where L_p is the number of multipath channel component. α_k and τ_k are the amplitude and propagation delay of the k-th path. In practice, OFDM technologies are efficiently implemented using a combination of fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and inverse fast Fourier Transform (IFFT) blocks. The 30 groups of CSI represent the channel response in frequency domain, which is about one group per two subcarriers. With IFFT processing of the CSI, we can obtain the channel response in the time domain, i.e., $h(t)$. Due to the bandwidth limitation, we may not be able to distinguish each signal path, but multiple signal clusters. Therefore, we keep the first cluster which includes the LOS or the most closed NLOS signal paths, and filter out the residual clusters using a truncation window. The time duration of the first cluster is determined by setting the truncation threshold as 50% of the first peak value. In doing so, we expect to mitigate the estimation error introduced by multipath reflection.

After the time domain signal processing, we reobtain the CSI using FFT. Fig. 4 shows the CSI results after time domain filtering. Note that, commercial NICs embeds hardware circuits for the FFT and IFFT processing, our algorithm introduces ignorable latency to the whole localization procedure.

2) Frequency-domain Fading Compensation: Moreover, since CSI represents the channel responses of multiple subcarriers, a combination scheme is also introduced to process



Fig. 4: Time Domain Channel Response.

the CSI value in our system for compensation of the fading of received signals in frequency domain to enhance location accuracy.

In general, when the space between two subcarriers is larger than the coherence bandwidth, they are fading independently. Since the channel bandwidth of 802.11n system is larger than the coherence bandwidth in typical indoor environment, the fading across all subcarriers are frequency-selective. To combat such frequency selectively fading of wireless signals, multiple uncorrelated fading subchannels (multiple frequency subcarriers), that is 30 groups of CSI values are combined at the receiver. Motivation for leveraging the frequency diversity stems from the fact that the probability of simultaneous deep fading occurring on multiple uncorrelated fading envelopes (in our case, resulting from frequency diversity) is much lower than the probability of a deep fade occurring on a single frequency system. Thus, exploiting the wide bandwidth of WLAN that assures sufficiently uncorrelated subcarriers, will reduce the variance in CSIs owing to small scale factors, which appears to be one of the major sources of location determination error. In our FILA system, we weighted average the 30 groups CSIs in frequency domain so as to obtain the effective CSI, which exploits the frequency diversity to compensate the small-scale fading effect.

Given a packet with 30 groups of subcarriers, the effective CSI of this packet is calculated as

$$\mathrm{CSI}_{eff} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{f_k}{f_0} \times |\mathrm{A}|_k, \ k \in (-15, 15), \quad (5)$$

where f_0 is the central frequency, f_k is the frequency of the k-th subcarrier, and $|A|_k$ is the amplitude of the k-th subcarrier CSI.

Note that selection of weighting factors are based on the fact that the radio propagation is frequency-related (refer to free space model). Next, we will establish the relationship between the CSI_{eff} and distance.



Fig. 5: Flow chart of fast training algorithm.

B. Calibration

Since CSI value is obtained from the baseband on the receiver side, the radio propagation model [11] for RSSI is no longer suitable for our design. So we develop a refined indoor propagation model to represent the relationship between the CSI_{eff} and distance by revising the free space path loss propagation model, given by:

$$d = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\left(\frac{c}{f_0 \times |\mathrm{CSI}_{eff}|}\right)^2 \times \sigma\right]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \qquad (6)$$

where c is the wave velocity, σ is the environment factor and n is the path loss fading exponent. Both of the two parameters are dependent on distinctive indoor environments. The environment factor σ represents the gain of the baseband to the RF band at the transmitter side, inversely, the gain of RF band to baseband at the receiver side, and the antenna gains as well. Moreover, for NLOS AP, the σ also includes the power loss due to wall penetration or the shadowing. The path loss fading exponent n is varying depending on the environment. For instance, when the RF signal is propagating along a free space like corridor, the path loss fading exponent n will be around 2. In other cases, such as an office that represents a complex indoor scenario, the exponent could be larger than 4. In an indoor radio channel with clutter in medium, where often the LOS path is augmented with the multipath NLOS at the receiver, signal power decreases with a pass loss fading exponent higher than 2 and is typically in the order of 2 to 4 [8]. Hence, it is not trivial to determine the received signal power and we need to refine the free space propagation model that obeys the analytical and empirical methods. A widely used simplification is to assume that all the path loss exponents that model propagations between the specific receiver and all the APs are equal. This simplification in a typical indoor environment is an oversimplification, since the channel propagation is usually very different depending on the relative position of the mobile client with regard to each AP. Therefore, we calibrate both environment factor σ and the path loss fading exponent n in a per-AP manner.

We propose a simple fast training algorithm based on supervised learning to retrieve the parameters with three anchors in offline phase. Fig. 5 demonstrates the basic flow of the training algorithm, which comprises two main steps. In the first step, CSIs of multiple packets are collected at two of the anchors to train the environment factor σ and the path loss fading exponent n for the refined indoor propagation model. In the second step, CSIs collected at the third anchor are used to test the efficiency of the parameter estimation. The two steps run iteratively until convergence. The experimental results in the next section show that this simple algorithm is enough to achieve satisfactory accuracy, more sophisticated training method will be able to obtain better performance.

C. Location Determination

The last step is to calculate the distances between the target object and the anchor APs based on the refined indoor propagation model and finally accomplish the localization. Summarizing the receiver aggregates the CSI_{eff} values from the physical layer to triangulate the precise position of the target object.

Each AP will send out beacon message to the specified receiver with its coordinate information on the network layer. As the deployment of APs nowadays is very dense, we can easily acquire multiple APs coordinate simultaneously. According to aforementioned distance calculation procedure, we can leverage the effective CSI values and the refined radio propagation model to obtain the distance between AP/object pairs. Afterwards, we apply the trilateration method as in [12], which is a simple but effective approach, to locate the object. Finally, we can obtain the unique coordinate of the object as the center of the three references range intersection.

Currently, we apply the simplest trilateration method to locate the object and verify the feasibility of CSI-based approach. More specifically, the high accuracy shown later in Section V proves that FILA achieves great improvement for indoor localization even based on the simplest localization method. If we further leverage the advantage of dense AP deployment, multilateration techniques could be employed to enhance the location accuracy, which is a potential future direction.

V. Experimental Results

In this section, we present the implementation and experimental evaluation of FILA. First, we describe the experimental setup. Then we illustrate the validation results for our refined propagation model. Finally, we evaluate the performance of FILA. In our evaluation, we use the performance of corresponding RSSI-based approach based on radio propagation model and trilateration as baseline .



Fig. 6: Chamber

Fig. 7: Research Laboratory



Fig. 8: Relation between CSI_{eff} and Distance.

A. Experimental Setup

In this section, we introduce the hardware configuration of FILA and implementation scenarios.

I. Hardware Configuration: The proposed FILA system is implemented on TL-WR941ND router manufactured by TP-LINK technologies CO., Ltd. as the transmitted AP and a HP laptop with 2.4GHz dual-core CPU as the received object. The router runs in the 2.4 to 2.4835 GHz frequency range and has three detachable antennas. The APs are stationary at fixed locations whose coordinates are pre-known at the receiver. The receiver is equipped with an Intel WiFi Link 5300 (iwl5300) 802.11n NICs. These NICs have three antennas and we use the data from the first antenna in the following results since the spatial diversity is left unexploited. We modify the driver as in [9] to collect the CSI values from the NICs. The laptop is placed on a plastic cart to ensure the mobility. This is how a real-time state RF channel between each pair of transmitter and receiver is generated.

II. Experimental Scenarios: We conduct experiments to show the performance and robustness of our FILA system in four different scenarios in the campus of Hong Kong University of Science and Technology as follows:

1) **Chamber** First, we set up a testbed in a $3m \times 4m$ Chamber to collect the RSSI and CSI as shown in Fig. 6. In general, Chamber is an enclosure that used as environmental conditions for conducting testing of specimen. In our experiment, chamber represents the ideal free space indoor environment which means only LOS signal exists without other multipath reflection or external interference.
2) **Research Laboratory** Then, we deployed FILA in an identical indoor scenario – a $5m \times 8m$ research laboratory as shown in Fig. 7. In the laboratory region, we place three APs on the top of three shelters in three dimensions. The experiment was conducted on a weekday afternoon when there were a couple of students seating or walking around, which will show the robustness of our system to temporal dynamics of the environment. The laptop was placed at a fixed position at the beginning of the experiment and then moved to the next point along a pre-measured path.
3) **Lecture Theatre** In addition, we chose a larger lecture hall to conduct the localization experiments, which is a $20m \times 20m$ lecture theatre. Since the space is relatively large, the influence of the room size can be explored.
4) **Corridor** Finally, we performed experiments in a corridor environment with multiple offices aside in our academic building as shown in Fig. 12, which is $32.5m \times 10m$ covering corridors, rooms and cubicles. In this scenario, we expect to illustrate the impact of the absence of LOS APs on the location accuracy.

B. Validate the Refined Model

As the target for precise indoor localization, two most important metrics are used to testify FILA: the accuracy and the temporal stability of location estimation. Afterwards, we compared the performance of our CSI-based localization system with the corresponding RSSI-based approach.

1) Robustness of the Refined Model: One essential aspect that needs to be determined before the localization experiments is whether CSI value can build a relationship with distance. In general, indoor RF signal strength is a non-monotonic function with distance due to multipath and shadowing effects. Fig. 8 illustrates the CSI value approximated by a power function of distance according to our refined propagation model. In diverse scenarios with corresponding environment factor σ, the path loss fading exponent n varies in a range of $[2, 4]$. It is shown that our refined model properly fit the relationship between CSI_{eff} and distance.

2) Temporal Stability of CSI: Temporal stability is a fundamental criteria in validating the robustness of the localization systems. We thus set out to examine the stability of the proposed new metric CSI_{eff} and RSSI value in time series. It is well-known that RSSI is a fickle measurement of the channel gain because of its coarse packet-level estimation and easily varied by multipath effect. As CSI is fine-grained PHY layer information that provides detailed channel state information in subcarrier level, it is of great importance to figure out whether it will remain in a stable manner in practical environment.

In Fig. 9, we investigate the CSI_{eff} value and RSSI value in the chamber and research laboratory so as to discover the effect of any temporal instability on distance estimation. Chamber provides a free space-like environment as it uses



Fig. 9: CSI vs. RSSI on distance estimation in different environments.



Fig. 10: Mean distance error.

specific material that can absorb the non-LOS signals. Thus, multipath effect can be eliminated in chamber environment. However, the result from our experiment shows that even in chamber the RSSI is also varied significantly from time to time due to the inaccurate measurement. In contrast, research lab is a typical multipath environment. Both the static obstacles and dynamic walking around individuals exert the influence on multipath and bring in more intense path loss. In this way, the variance of RSSI becomes even larger and the performance of distance estimation is even worse.

Fig. **??**, Fig. **??** and Fig. 9 lead to an essential conclusion: in comparison with RSSI, CSI is more temporally stable in different environments and helps maintain the performance over time. Therefore, FILA can achieve accurate location more quickly than the RSSI-based scheme, which is very crucial for some location-based application like search and rescue.

C. Performance Evaluation

As a target for precise and fast indoor localization, two most important metrics are used to testify FILA: the accuracy and the latency of localization, afterwards, we compared the performance of our CSI-based localization system with the corresponding RSSI-based approach.

1) Accuracy:

Accuracy over a Single Link: As the premise of indoor localization, we first investigated the distance determination accuracy of FILA compared with the corresponding RSSI-based approach. The primary source of error in indoor localization is multipath propagation caused by multiple reflections that overlap with the direct LOS subcarrier at the receiver. FILA takes advantage of the fine-grained trait to mitigate such multipath effect, and exploits the frequency diversity to compensate the frequency-selective shading. We repeated the distance measurement experiments across 10 different locations in chamber, research laboratory and lecture theatre, respectively. For some positions with serious multipath effect, FILA achieves up to 10 times accuracy gain over the corresponding RSSI-based scheme. Fig. 10 illustrates the mean distance errors in three different environments. Our evaluation shows that FILA can outperform the corresponding RSSI-based scheme by around 3 times for the distance determination of a single link.

To assess the effectiveness of the CSI-based localization approach, in the following we evaluate the accuracy of FILA in different typical indoor environments.

Localization Accuracy in Single Room: In the experiments conducted in the research laboratory, we fix three APs on the top of the shelters. The mobile laptop with iwl5300 NICs is first fixed at one location and then moved to another. We repeated this process and placed the device at 10 different positions respectively. Fig. 11(a) illustrates the cumulative distribution (CDF) of localization errors across the 10 positions. In our experiments, for over 90% of data points, the localization error falls within the range of 1 meter, and the 50% accuracy is less than 0.5 m. In such a dynamic environment with lots of factors interfering the propagation of signals, FILA exhibits a preferable property indicating that the fine-grained nature of CSI is beneficial to improve the accuracy of corresponding RF-based approach.

Fig. 11(b) depicts the cumulative distribution function errors per location detected at the university lecture theatre. Even for such a much larger space, FILA can locate objects in the range within 1.8 meters of their actual position with 90 percent probability, which is acceptable for most location-based applications.

Across the above two typical single room indoor scenarios, FILA achieves median accuracy of 0.45 m and 1.2 m, respectively. It is therefore safe to conclude that the proposed CSI-based scheme performs much better than RSSI-based one when locating objects in a typical indoor building with multipath effect.

Localization Accuracy in Multiple Rooms : In our previous experiments, the APs and client are placed in the same room. We also examined the corridor scenario where several APs are deployed in the multiple rooms. Specially, we take into consideration both the complicated multipath effect and the



(a) Research Laboratory (b) Lecture Theatre (c) Corridor

Fig. 11: CDF of localization error in different indoor environments.

shadowing fading brought by wall shield as shown Fig. 12. We first fix the position of the object at some reference nodes, and collects the AP coordinate and CSI value for offline training. Then, we move the object to arbitrary positions for online tracking. The moving speed is around $1m/s$ and we collect 20 CSIs and RSSIs at each position.

In Fig. 11(c), we plot the cumulative distribution of location errors across 10 positions. It is shown that multipath propagation does degrade the accuracy of object localization as well as the shadowing in the multiple rooms scenarios. However, FILA is robust enough to maintain the degradation. More importantly, FILA can achieve median accuracy of 1.2 m in this corridor environment. This result indicates that FILA is able to effectively estimate and compensate for gain differences across multiple rooms.

2) Latency: Two main phases contribute to the latency of FILA, the calibration phase and location determination phase. Since the environment factor and the fading exponent vary in different environments, we need to conduct calibration to train these two parameters for the refined propagation model. We should collect CSIs at some pre-known positions to calculate these two parameters using our fast training algorithm. Actually, this process can be finished before localization as an offline task since the APs can use each other's information for the calibration. In our FILA system, the AP takes about $0.8ms$ to transmit a packet with 100 bytes beacon message in IEEE 802.11n. Each time we collect 20 CSIs and the time will be $0.8 \times 20 = 16ms$. The calibration process can be done within $2ms$ according to our measurement on a HP laptop with 2.4GHz dual-core CPU. In the location determination phase, the IFFT and FFT process can leverage the according hardware blocks in the wireless NICs whose running time is ignorable. While we conduct these signal processing on laptop consuming around $2ms$, including the time needed for the trilateration location calculation. Therefore, the time consumption for both training and location determination is within several ms. In our experiment, the people's walking speed is around $1m/s$. The testing trace is shown in Figure 12. From this figure we can observe that our CSI-based approach outperforms RSSI-based one. The average tracking error is around $1.2m$ as shown



Fig. 12: Positioning in corridor scenario.

in Figure 11(c). For multiple rooms environment, the simple alpha-tracking algorithm [13] can be applied for triggering the training. We only need to train these parameters once unless the environment changes greatly. In summary, our system can reach the average time tracking latency to as fast as about $0.01s$, which significantly outperforms previous RSSI-based tracking systems [4] (usually $2-3s$).

VI. Related Work

Range-based localization makes use of trilateration for estimating the positions of individual nodes in the network. With three sets of anchor positions and separating distances, it is guaranteed that any unknown positions can be calculated. Such a process continues until all unknown positions are computed. Range-based methods can be further divided into two categories based on how the separating distance is computed: time-based and power-based. Since time-based approaches compute the distance relied on the propagation time differences [14], we restrict our literature review to those localization approaches based on received power that are the most relevant.

Power-based approaches are widely used since it does not require additional hardware. These approaches [1], [4], [5], [15] rely on the fact that path loss is monotonically increasing with separating distance in open space. One can estimate the separating distance given a received power level. However, such open space is not realistic due to multiple signal reflections from obstacles or surrounding. Such multiple paths create an adverse impact on power-based methods, since a single power level may represent various distances. Moreover, these distance sets are very likely to change with minor variation of the environment like people walking [16]. A number of works expect to address these problems by proposing ideas like eliminating erroneous links in computation [2], [3], [17]. Li and Wu [18]use wireless sensor networks for fine-grained localization with pairwise nodes coverage by theoretical analysis. RFID has also been used for indoor localization [19] which needs densely deployment to achieve high accuracy. Recently, Erich et.al. propose a wideband approach which choose two of the 44 frequencies that determine the best accuracy [20]. Another work [21] tries to apply multiple frequencies to eliminate the multipath effect in wireless sensor networks. Unfortunately, their approaches are ineffective in the dynamic environment, because their RSSI collection from different frequencies is very time-consuming, and may not be able to catch up the environment variation. Our work differs from the aforementioned because we use a new metric using CSI rather than RSSI in our system design, which is more stable over time and less vulnerable to the multipath effect than the latter.

Recently, some work leverages the PHY Layer information to enhance the network performance [6], [22]. One work exploits CSI from PHY Layer to enhance the wireless network performance by adapting the bit-rate [9]. In our work, we exploit the fine-grained and temporal stability properties of CSI in multicarrier system to alleviate the multipath effect and thus design a fast and accurate indoor localization system.

VII. Conclusions and Future Work

Localization is one of the most appealing applications and becomes increasingly common in our daily life. RSSI-based schemes have been widely used to provide location-aware services in WLAN. However, in this paper, we observe that RSSI is roughly measured and easily affected by the multipath effect which is unreliable. We then use the fine-grained information, that is, Channel State Information (CSI), which explores the frequency diversity characteristic in OFDM systems to build the indoor localization system FILA. In FILA, we process the CSI of multiple subcarriers in a single packet as effective CSI value CSI_{eff}, and develop a refined indoor radio propagation model to represent the relationship between CSI_{eff} and distance. To demonstrate the effectiveness of FILA, we implemented it on the commercial 802.11n NICs. We then conducted extensive experiments in typical indoor environments and the experimental results show that the accuracy and speed of distance calculation can be significantly enhanced using CSI.

In this work, we just use the simplest trilateration method to illustrate the effectiveness of CSI in indoor localization. The future research in the new and largely open areas of wireless technologies can be carried out along the following directions. First, it is likely that more accurate localization can be accomplished by augmented CSI value with existing fingerprint-based methods. Second, we can leverage the available multiple APs to improve the location accuracy in some extent. Third, since some of the smart phones have 802.11n chipset, the next step of our work is to implement FILA in smart phone.

VIII. Acknowledgement

This research was supported in part by Pearl River New Star Technology Training Project, Hong Kong RGC Grants 617710, 617811, 623209 and 622410 and China NSFC Grants 60933012, 61170247 and 60933011, Huawei-HKUST joint lab, and National High Technology Research and Development (863) Program of China under Grant No.2011AA010500 and NSFC-Guangdong Joint Fund of China under grant (No.U0735001, U0835004 and U0935004).

References

[1] P. Bahl and V. N. Padmanabhan, "Radar: an in-building rf-based user location and tracking system," in *Proc. of IEEE INFOCOM*, 2000.
[2] J. Liu, Y. Zhang, and F. Zhao, "Robust distributed node localization with error management," in *Proc. of ACM MobiHoc*, 2006.
[3] D. Moore, J. Leonard, D. Rus, and S. Teller, "Robust distributed network localization with noisy range measurements," in *Proc. of ACM Sensys*, 2004.
[4] D. Zhang, J. Ma, Q. B. Chen, and L. M. Ni, "An rf-based system for tracking transceiver-free objects," in *Proc. of IEEE PerCom*, 2007.
[5] D. Zhang and L. M. Ni, "Dynamic clustering for tracking multiple transceiver-free objects," in *Proc. of IEEE PerCom*, 2009.
[6] K. Wu, H. Tan, H. Ngan, and L. Ni, "Chip error pattern analysis in ieee 802.15.4," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2012.
[7] "IEEE standard for local and metropolitan area networks part 11; amendment 5: Enhancements for higher throughput.," 2009.
[8] K. Fazal and S. Kaiser, *Multi-carrier and spread spectrum systems : from OFDM and MC-CDMA to LTE and WiMAX*. Wiley, 2008.
[9] D. Halperin, W. J. Hu, A. Sheth, and D. Wetherall, "Predictable 802.11 packet delivery from wireless channel measurements," in *Proc. of ACM SIGCOMM*, 2010.
[10] J. Yin, Q. Yang, and L. M. Ni, "Adaptive temporal radio maps for indoor location estimation," in *IEEE PerCom*, 2005.
[11] A. Goldsmith, *Wireless Communications and Networks:3G and beyond*. Tata McGraw Hill Education Private Limited, 2010.
[12] Y. Liu, Z. Yang, X. Wang, and L. Jia, "Location, localization, and localizability," in *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, vol. 25, pp. 274–297, March 2010.
[13] T. He, S. Krishnamurthy, T. Luo, L. andYan, K. B., L. Gu, R. Stoleru, G. Zhou, Q. Cao, P. Vicaire, J. A. Stankovic, T. F. Abdelzaher, and J. Hui, "VigilNet: An Integrated Sensor Network System for Energy-Efficient Surveillance.," in *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, 2006.
[14] X. Z. Cheng, A. Thaeler, G. L. Xue, and D. C. Chen, "TPS: A TimeBased Positioning Scheme for Outdoor Wireless Sensor Networks," in *Proc. of IEEE InfoCom*, 2004.
[15] M. Youssef and A. Agrawala, "The horus wlan location determination system," in *Proc. of ACM MobiSys*, pp. 205–218, 2005.
[16] Z. G. Zhou and T. He, "Achieving Range-Free Localization Beyond Connectivity," in *Proc. of ACM Sensys*, 2009.
[17] K. Whitehouse, C. Karlof, and A. Woo, "The effects of ranging noise on multihop localization: an empirical study," in *Proc. of ACM/IEEE IPSN*, 2005.
[18] X. Li and J. Wu, "Fine-grained Localization with Pairwise Nodes Coverage," in *Proc. of SAHNS workshop in conjunction with IEEE ICDCS*, 2011.
[19] L. M. Ni, Y. Liu, Y. C. Lau, and A. Patil, "Landmarc: indoor location sensing using active rfid," in *Proc. of IEEE PerCom*, 2003.
[20] E. P. Stuntebeck, S. N. Patel, T. Robertson, M. S. Reynolds, and G. D. Abowd, "Wideband powerline positioning for indoor localization," in *Proc. of ACM UbiComp*, 2008.
[21] M. Bor, "Indoor localization for wireless sensor networks - applying multiple frequencies to mitigate multipath effects," Master's thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands, 2009.
[22] K. Wu, H. Tan, Y. Liu, J. Zhang, Q. Zhang, and L. Ni, "Side channel: Bits over interference," in *Proc. of ACM Mobicom*, 2010.